

February 10, 2017

Carla A. Reid, General Manager/Chief Executive Officer
Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission
14501 Sweitzer Lane
Laurel, Maryland 20707

Dear Ms. Reid:

As consumers of drinking water from the Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission (WSSC) and other regional water providers, we write to seek your support in protecting our drinking water from horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing for natural gas in Maryland headwaters of the Potomac River. Unless the Maryland General Assembly changes current law during this legislative session, oil and gas operators will be able to seek permission to drill in this area as soon as this October.¹

The WSSC has a direct interest in whether Maryland allows hydraulic fracturing because it receives most of its source water from the Potomac River. The WSSC uses this source water to provide drinking water for 1.8 million people in Montgomery and Prince George's Counties. The Maryland headwaters of the Potomac River are located precisely within the region targeted for drilling: a portion of the Marcellus shale located in the western part of the state.

The WSSC, other local water providers and local governments have previously opposed hydraulic fracturing in the Potomac's watershed. In October 2014, WSSC passed a resolution² opposing hydraulic fracturing in the George Washington National Forest (GWNF) that encompasses the Potomac's headwaters in Virginia and West Virginia. DC Water, Fairfax Water and Washington Aqueduct issued similar statements.³ In March and April 2014, respectively, the Montgomery and Prince George's County Councils passed similar resolutions.⁵ More recently, the Montgomery and Prince George's County Councils⁶ have joined other Maryland public officials calling for bans or moratoria on horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing within the state.⁷ Hydraulic fracturing typically involves the underground injection at high pressure of a mix of water, sand, and chemicals to fracture rock formations and release trapped oil or natural gas. This extraction technology poses distinct threats to drinking water sources that could lead to service disruptions, increased treatment costs and public health risks.

The Potomac's Maryland headwaters enjoy a rural, bucolic, heritage and setting ill-suited for the heavy industrial activity that would be involved in horizontal drilling and fracking. As with the GWNF, rapid industrialization here could jeopardize the quality of the WSSC's source water through sediment runoff, leaks and spills of toxic substances, discharges of wastewater that can contain radioactive contaminants and improper disposal of fracking chemicals or other wastes. Drilling companies, themselves, often disclose some of these risks to investors.⁸ The watershed would be further jeopardized because horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing are exempt from significant parts of seven major federal environmental laws, including the Safe Drinking Water Act, Clean Water Act, and hazardous waste disposal standards.⁹

In its assessment of hydraulic fracturing's potential impacts on drinking water, finalized in December, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) found many dozens of potential vulnerabilities and uncertainties surrounding fracking activities and drinking water resources. The EPA concluded that hydraulic fracturing has polluted drinking water resources and can have particularly adverse localized impacts.¹⁰ The agency also emphasized that many questions about drinking water impacts remain unanswered for several reasons, including drilling companies' use of chemicals that are hidden from the public by trade secret protections and chemicals with unknown health effects.¹¹ The EPA found that when well operators disclosed the chemicals used in hydraulic fracturing to FracFocus, the nation's largest repository of fracturing chemical data, they claimed as confidential at least one chemical for more than 70 percent of wells.¹² The EPA also found that of 1,606 chemicals identified in hydraulic fracturing fluid or drilling wastewater, only 173 had toxicity values from sources that met EPA's standards for conducting risk assessments. "This missing information represents a significant data gap that makes it difficult to fully understand the severity of potential impacts on drinking water resources," EPA wrote.¹³

The risks of unknown chemicals to downstream water users are not just hypothetical. The 2014 West Virginia chemical spill from a storage tank that contaminated the Elk River involved a chemical called Crude MCHM, the health effects of which were largely unknown.¹⁴ The spill traveled at least 200 miles downstream leading Cincinnati to shut down its intakes on the Ohio River.¹⁵ As you are aware, just last November, an oil sheen traveling down the Potomac River led the WSSC to increase monitoring and insert a boom designed to divert surface contaminants away from its intake.¹⁶ Drilling activities in the Potomac watershed nearby would present increased risk for additional hydrocarbon spills.

The WSSC has the responsibility to provide safe and reliable drinking water to its customers. As such, efforts to promote upstream watershed protection are as important as downstream water treatment. Since 2015, Maryland has had a moratorium on horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing in the state's portion of the Marcellus shale that includes the Potomac headwaters. In light of the risks and uncertainties that continue to be associated with this type of natural gas extraction, we ask you to urge Maryland legislators and the governor to prevent this practice. We appreciate your consideration of this very important matter and will be contacting other local water providers about this issue soon. Please let us know if we can provide additional information. We look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Aaron Mintzes
Earthworks

Dusty Horwitt
Partnership for Policy Integrity

Betsy Nicholas
Waterkeepers Chesapeake

Brent Bolin

Clean Water Action

Brent Walls
Upper Potomac Riverkeeper

Dean Naujoks
Potomac Riverkeeper

Ann Bristow
Savage River Watershed Association

Josh Tulkin
Maryland Sierra Club

Seth Heald
Virginia Chapter Sierra Club

Gail Fendley
Michelle's Earth Foundation

Donny Williams
We Are Cove Point

Tim Whitehouse
Chesapeake Physicians for Social Responsibility

Kelly Canavan
AMP Creeks Council

CC: Jim Neustadt, Director of Communications and Community Relations
Monica Johnson, Deputy General Manager for Strategic Partnerships

¹ Maryland's Department of the Environment has [proposed regulations](#) governing oil and gas development in accordance with the Maryland General Assembly's legislative mandate.

² Resolution 2015-2070.

³ Letter from DC Water General Manager George Hawkins to U.S. Secretary of Agriculture Tom Vilsack (Sept. 10, 2013). Letter from Fairfax Water General Manager Charles Murray to Kenneth Landgraf, Acting Forest Supervisor, George Washington & Jefferson National Forests (Oct. 11, 2011). Letter from Washington Aqueduct General Manager Thomas P. Jacobus to Kenneth Landgraf Acting Forest Supervisor, George Washington & Jefferson National Forests (Oct. 17, 2011).

⁵ Montgomery County Council Resolution No. 17-1018 (Mar. 4, 2014). Prince George's County Council Resolution No. CR-22-2014 (April 29, 2014).

⁶ See "[Prince George's County Bans Fracking](#)" by Alex Kist, NBC News 4, April 12, 2016 last visited January 10, 2017

⁷ The most recent list of [Maryland elected officials](#) supporting a ban on hydraulic fracturing as of January 9, 2017.

⁸ See, e.g., Noble Energy, Inc. Form 10-K filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (Feb. 17, 2016).

⁹ See Earthworks, [Loopholes for Polluters](#): The oil and gas industry's exemptions from major environmental laws.

¹⁰ See U.S. EPA. Hydraulic Fracturing for Oil and Gas: Impacts from the Hydraulic Fracturing Water Cycle on Drinking Water Resources in the United States ([Final Report](#)). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, EPA/600/R-16/236F, 2016, at ES-3 through 4.

¹¹ Id. at ES-45.

¹² Id. at ES-45.

¹³ Id. at ES-45 through 46.

¹⁴ See [What is 'Crude MCHM'? Few Know](#) by Ken Ward, Charleston Gazette-Mail, January 10, 2014 last visited January 12, 2017.

¹⁵ See Greater Cincinnati Water Works, "[West Virginia Chemical Spill](#)" January 14, 2014 last visited January 10, 2017

¹⁶ See Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission, "[Potomac Sheen](#)" last visited January 10, 2017